

NO. 2 OF 2018

# PHASE OF THE QUALITY OF SERVICE FRAMEWORK AT KL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND KL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT 2

This Directive is issued by the Commission pursuant to section 98A of the Malaysian Aviation Commission Act 2015 [Act 771].

### Objective

- 1.1 The Malaysian Aviation Commission ("Commission") has developed an Airports Quality of Service ("QoS") Framework to improve passenger comfort at airports, to ensure the aerodrome operators give priority to consumer service levels, and to facilitate better airport user experience for airlines, ground handling operators and other users of airports in Malaysia.
- 1.2 The objective of this Directive is to regulate the QoS at KL International Airport ("KLIA") and KL International Airport 2 ("klia2").

## **Application**

2. This Directive applies to the person who is authorised under the Act to operate KLIA and klia2 ("Aerodrome Operator") and shall be read in conjunction with the

Directive relating to the Implementation of the Quality of Service Framework at KL International Airport and KL International Airport 2 No. 1 of 2018 dated 30 August 2018.

# Airport Quality of Service Framework

3.1	The	QoS	Framework comprises of five components:			
	(a)	se	rvice quality category;			
	(b)	se	rvice quality element;			
	(c)	me	asurement mechanism;			
	(d)	tar	get; and			
	(e)	rev	enue at risk.			
	The service quality category comprises passenger comfort and facilities, and age flow. Each of this service quality category is divided into the following spector quality element:					
	(a)	Pas	senger comfort and facilities			
		(i)	overall satisfaction with the airport;			
		(ii)	overall satisfaction with the washrooms;			
		(iii)	cleanliness of the terminal;			
		(iv)	flight information displays;			

- (v) availability of Wi-Fi;
- (vi) ambience of the terminal;
- (vii) wayfinding; and
- (viii) kerbside congestion.
- (b) Baggage flow
  - (i) availability of baggage handling system ("BHS") equipment.
- 3.3 Each service quality element is measured with specific measurement mechanism to ensure that these service quality elements are measured in a clear and precise manner.
- 3.4 The Aerodrome Operator has to achieve the target allocated to each service quality element. Failure to achieve any of the targets as specified in column (5) of Schedule 1 is a non-compliance and the Commission may impose a financial penalty on the Aerodrome Operator based on the percentage of the revenue at risk assigned to each service quality element.
- 3.5 The details of the QoS Framework are as specified in Schedule 1.

#### Computation of financial penalty for non-compliance of QoS Framework

- 4.1 In the event of any non-compliance of the QoS Framework, the computation of financial penalty shall be based on the revenue at risk as per column (6) of Schedule 1.
- 4.2 The financial penalty shall be computed respectively for KLIA and klia2 on a monthly basis.

- 4.3 The amount of financial penalty for each non-compliance is calculated from the monthly accrued regulated aeronautical revenue of the Aerodrome Operator based on the percentage of the revenue at risk.
- 4.4 The financial penalty to be imposed pursuant to paragraph 4.3 shall not exceed five per cent of the annual turnover of the Aerodrome Operator from the preceding financial year.
- 4.5 The accrued regulated aeronautical revenue shall not include the User Fee paid by the Aerodrome Operator to the Government.
- 4.6 For the purpose of this paragraph -

"accrued regulated aeronautical revenue" means -

- (a) Passenger Service Charges and Security Charges;
- (b) Landing Charges; and
- (c) Parking Charges.

"User Fee" has the meaning assigned to it in the Operating Agreement for KL International Airport dated 12 February 2009 between the Government of Malaysia, Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad and Malaysia Airports (Sepang) Sdn. Bhd.

### Payment of financial penalty for non-compliance of QoS Framework

5.1 In the event the Aerodrome Operator fails to comply with the QoS Framework, the Commission shall issue the notice of financial penalty on a quarterly basis for each calendar year.

5.2 The Aerodrome Operator shall pay the financial penalty to the Commission within thirty days from the date the Commission issues the notice of financial penalty pursuant to paragraph 5.1.

#### Commission to require information or document

- 6.1 The Commission may, for the purpose of implementing this Directive, require the Aerodrome Operator to provide any information or document and the Aerodrome Operator shall provide such information or document to the Commission in accordance with any timeline as specified by the Commission.
- 6.2 The Aerodrome Operator shall disclose relevant information or document to the Commission and shall ensure that such information or document are not false or misleading in nature.

#### Compliance of the Directive by Aerodrome Operator

- 7.1 The Aerodrome Operator shall comply with this Directive.
- 7.2 Paragraph 98A(3)(b) of the Act shall apply in the event the Aerodrome Operator fails to comply with this Directive.

#### Date of commencement

8. This Directive comes into operation on 1 January 2019.

SCHEDULE 1

Quality of Service Framework

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
No.	Service	Service	Measurement	Target	Revenue at
	quality	quality	mechanism		risk
	category	element	(monthly)		(%)
1.	Passenger	Overall	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	Results are
	comfort and	satisfaction	a representative sample	least 98% of the size	for
	facilities	with the	of passengers	of the survey	notification
		airport			purposes
					only
		Overall	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.30
		satisfaction	a representative sample	least 93% of the size	
		with the	of passengers	of the survey	
		washrooms			
	E.	Cleanliness	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.46
		of the	a representative sample	least 98% of the size	
		terminal	of passengers	of the survey	
		Flight	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.11
		information	a representative sample	least 96% of the size	
		displays	of passengers	of the survey	
		Availability of	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.28
		Wi-Fi	a representative sample	least 91% of the size	
			of passengers	of the survey	
		Ambience of	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.11
		the terminal	a representative sample	least 97% of the size	
			of passengers	of the survey	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
No.	Service	Service	Measurement	Target	Revenue at
	quality	quality	mechanism		risk
	category	element	(monthly)		(%)
		Wayfinding	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	0.28
			a representative sample	least 94% of the size	
			of passengers	of the survey	
		Kerbside	Survey responses from	Compliance of at	Results are
		congestion	a representative sample	least 96% of the size	for
			of passengers	of the survey	notification
					purposes
					only
				Total	1.54

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
No.	Service	Service	Measurement	Target	Revenue at
	quality	quality	mechanism		risk
	category	element	(monthly)		(%)
2.	Baggage flow	Availability of BHS equipment	The Equipment Service Availability based on reports submitted by the Aerodrome Operator	Availability of BHS equipment for at least 99.5% of the duration of time the BHS equipment is in service	0.26
				Total	0.26
Grand Total				1.80	

Herryonin a Rughi

Nungsari Ahmad Radhi

**Executive Chairman** 

Malaysian Aviation Commission

12 December 2018

# Published by



Level 19, Menara 1 Sentrum, 201, Jalan Tun Sambanthan, 50470 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.