



Civil Aviation Economic Guidelines – 205

Notification and Application Procedure for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger

NAAM

Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia

Issue 01

Revision 00 – 1st July 2026

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Introduction

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 36ZF of the Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia Act 2017 [Act 788] (“the Act”), this Civil Aviation Economic Guidelines 205 – Notification and Application Procedure for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger (CAEG 205 – NAAM) is issued to provide guidelines on the notification and application procedures for an anticipated merger and a merger under sections 36U and 36V of the Act, respectively.

Non-compliance with this CAEG

Any person who contravenes any provision in this CAEG may be imposed a financial penalty under Subsection 36ZF (4) of the Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia Act 2017 [Act 788].



(Dato' Captain Norazman bin Mahmud)
Chief Executive Officer
Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Table of Contents

1	GENERAL	11
1.1	CITATION	11
1.2	REVOCATION	11
2	BACKGROUND	11
3	NOTIFICATION AND APPLICATION REGIME FOR AN ANTICIPATED MERGER OR A MERGER....	12
3.1	VOLUNTARY NOTIFICATION AND APPLICATION.....	12
3.2	POWER TO INVESTIGATE	13
4	NOTIFICATION AND APPLICATION PROCEDURES FOR AN ANTICIPATED MERGER OR A MERGER	13
4.1	NOTIFICATION AND APPLICATION TO THE AUTHORITY	13
4.2	WHEN AND HOW TO MAKE A NOTIFICATION AND AN APPLICATION	13
4.3	INCOMPLETE APPLICATION	14
4.4	DURATION FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF AN APPLICATION.....	14
4.5	PRELIMINARY ISSUES	14
4.6	PUBLICATION OF PUBLIC VERSION OF THE APPLICATION	15
4.7	PHASE 1 ASSESSMENT	15
4.8	PHASE 2 ASSESSMENT	16
4.9	UNDERTAKING	17
4.10	EXECUTION OF AN ANTICIPATED MERGER DURING THE ASSESSMENT OF AN APPLICATION	18
4.11	FINAL DECISION.....	18
4.12	NON-INFRINGEMENT DECISION	19
4.13	VALIDITY PERIOD OF A NON-INFRINGEMENT DECISION FOR AN ANTICIPATED MERGER	19
4.14	INFRINGEMENT DECISION.....	20
4.15	IMPOSITION OF DIRECTIONS IN AN INFRINGEMENT DECISION	20
4.16	TYPES OF REMEDY IN AN INFRINGEMENT DECISION	21
4.17	IMPOSITION OF FINANCIAL PENALTIES IN AN INFRINGEMENT DECISION.....	23
5	ENFORCEMENT OF DECISION	23
5.1	PROCEEDINGS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE.....	23
6	EXEMPTION AND APPEAL	23
6.1	EXEMPTION	23
6.2	APPEAL.....	24
7	GLOSSARY	25



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1 General

1.1 Citation

- 1.1.1 This Guideline is the Civil Aviation Economic Guideline 205 – Notification and Application Procedure for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger (CAEG 205 – NAAM), Issue 01/Revision 00, and comes into operation on 1 July 2026.
- 1.1.2 This CAEG 205 – NAAM, Issue 01/Revision 00 will remain current until withdrawn or superseded.

1.2 Revocation

- 1.2.1 This CAEG 205 – NAAM revokes the guidelines issued pursuant to the Malaysian Aviation Commission Act 2015 [Act 771]:
- a) Guidelines on Notification and Application Procedure for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger (First published 20 April 2018).

2 Background

- 2.1 This Civil Aviation Economic Guidelines is issued by CAAM in the exercise of its power pursuant to section 36ZF of the Act to provide explanation on the notification and application procedures for an anticipated merger and a merger under sections 36U and 36V of the Act respectively.
- 2.2 The types of conduct or factors which may be considered by the Authority in evaluating a merger as provided in these Guidelines are not exhaustive and the examples are for illustrative purposes only. The Authority will consider the specific facts and circumstances of each case and may take into account any other factor that the Authority considers relevant in the implementation of Division 4 of Part VB of the Act.
- 2.3 These Guidelines serve to supplement Part VB of the Act or any regulation relating to the same. These Guidelines should be read together with all other guidelines issued by the Authority pursuant to section 36ZF of the Act particularly the Guidelines on the Substantive Assessment of Mergers.
- 2.4 The concepts and principles in these Guidelines are based on domestic and international best practices relating to competition law.
- 2.5 The Authority may revise these Guidelines from time to time taking into account developments in competition law and the civil aviation industry.
- 2.6 Enterprises providing aviation services are advised to conduct self-assessment exercises of their businesses in respect of their conduct, procedures, management

and control. Enterprises are also advised to have competition compliance procedures in place for their employees at all levels including the top management and the governing body, where applicable.

- 2.7 Any enterprise that is uncertain about whether its commercial activities comply with Part VB of the Act is encouraged to seek independent legal advice.

3 Notification and Application Regime for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger

3.1 Voluntary Notification and Application

- 3.1.1 Sections 36U and 36V of the Act respectively provides for a voluntary notification regime for an anticipated merger and a merger. A merger party has the option to notify the Authority of its anticipated merger or merger and to apply for a decision as to whether the merger in question infringes or will infringe the prohibition under section 36T of the Act¹.
- 3.1.2 For the purpose of these Guidelines, unless stated otherwise, the term “notification” refers to a notification of an anticipated merger or a merger under paragraph 36U(1)(a) or 36V(1)(a) of the Act, and the term “application” refers to an application for a decision under paragraph 36U(1)(b) or 36V(1)(b) of the Act.
- 3.1.3 A merger party shall carry out its own assessment to determine whether a merger occurs within the meaning of section 36T of the Act and whether a notification and an application to the Authority under section 36U or 36V of the Act is required.
- 3.1.4 The self-assessment should be undertaken in light of the provisions of the Act, the Guidelines on the Substantive Assessment of Mergers and these Guidelines. In general, a merger party - may notify the Authority of an anticipated merger or a merger and apply for a decision where it considers that the merger may result in an SLC within any aviation service market.
- 3.1.5 Merger parties should exercise caution in exchanging commercially sensitive information during the pre-merger period to avoid conducts that may infringe section 36O of the Act. This includes, among others, the sharing of data on prices, costs, supply and customer information. A merger party should have in place internal compliance procedures to ensure compliance with Part VB of the Act at all times in relation to an anticipated merger or a merger.
- 3.1.6 The Act does not provide for any process for a merger party to consult or seek guidance from the Authority on whether an anticipated merger or a merger would

¹ Further explanation on the prohibition under section 36T of the Act is provided in the Guidelines on the Substantive Assessment of Mergers.

infringe section 36T of the Act or should be notified to the Authority and applied for a decision.

3.2 Power to Investigate

3.2.1 A merger party that fails to notify the Authority of an anticipated merger or a merger and apply to the Authority for a decision carries the risks of being investigated by the Authority if such merger raises competition concerns under the Act. The Authority may initiate an investigation under section 36ZX of the Act where there is reason to suspect that an anticipated merger or a merger would infringe the prohibition under section 36T of the Act. If the Authority finds that an anticipated merger or a merger infringes the prohibition under section 36T of the Act, the Authority shall require that the infringement to be ceased immediately. This may entail the modification or dissolution of the anticipated merger or merger. The Authority may also give any direction as provided under paragraphs 36Y(1)(b) to (d) of the Act.

3.2.2 The Authority is more likely to enquire or initiate an investigation into an anticipated merger or a merger if —

- b) the combined turnover of the merger parties in Malaysia in the financial year preceding the anticipated merger or the merger is at least fifty million ringgit; or
- c) the combined worldwide turnover of the merger parties in the financial year preceding the anticipated merger or the merger is at least five hundred million ringgit.

3.2.3 In any case where the above turnover thresholds are not met, the Authority still has the power to investigate an anticipated merger or a merger where there is reason to suspect that it has resulted or may be expected to result in an SLC in any aviation service market.

4 Notification and Application Procedures for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger

4.1 Notification and Application to the Authority

4.1.1 A merger party may notify the Authority of an anticipated merger or a merger and apply to the Authority for a decision on whether such anticipated merger or merger would infringe the prohibition under subsection 36T(1) of the Act. This is provided for in subsections 36U(1) and 36V(1) of the Act respectively.

4.2 When and How to Make a Notification and an Application

- 4.2.1 With regard to an anticipated merger, a merger party may make a notification and an application to the Authority when —
- a) the merger parties have a bona fide intention to proceed with the anticipated merger;
 - b) details of the anticipated merger are available; and
 - c) the anticipated merger has been made public by any party or may be made public by the Authority through the publication of a summary of the application².
- 4.2.2 As for a merger that has already been completed, the notification and application to the Authority may be made at any time. A merger party is encouraged to make a notification and an application to the Authority as soon as possible after the merger is completed.
- 4.2.3 A notification and an application under section 36U or 36V of the Act shall be made in the form and manner determined by the Authority supported by the required documents and information.

4.3 Incomplete Application

- 4.3.1 The Authority may refuse to accept an application if it is —
- a) incomplete;
 - b) not accompanied by the relevant supporting documents;
 - c) not made in the form and manner determined by the Authority; or
 - d) not made in accordance with any provision of the Act, any applicable regulations, guidelines or application requirements determined by the Authority.
- 4.3.2 The Authority may also consider an application to be incomplete until the payment of any applicable fee as prescribed by the relevant regulations is made.

4.4 Duration for the Assessment of an Application

- 4.4.1 The duration for the assessment of an application will be determined on a case-by-case basis depending on factors such as the complexity of the issues and the timeliness and the completeness of the information provided by the enterprises.

4.5 Preliminary Issues

² As stated in paragraph 3.6.1 of these Guidelines.

4.5.1 Upon receiving a complete application, the Authority will first determine whether an anticipated merger or a merger will occur or has occurred within the meaning of section 36T of the Act.

4.5.2 In the event the Authority finds that an anticipated merger or a merger will not occur or has not occurred within the meaning of section 36T of the Act, the Authority will proceed to inform the applicant accordingly.

4.6 Publication of Public Version of the Application

4.6.1 If the Authority finds that an anticipated merger or a merger is within the meaning of section 36T of the Act, the Authority may publish a public version of the application in the manner that it sees fit for the purpose of public consultation. Any person may submit feedback within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of publication of the summary of application or, where appropriate, any other reasonable period as may be determined by the Authority. At the end of such period, the Authority will proceed with the Phase 1 Assessment.

4.7 Phase 1 Assessment

4.7.1 During the Phase 1 Assessment of the application, the Authority will evaluate the possible competitive effects of an anticipated merger or a merger. The Phase 1 Assessment entails the gathering of information about the competitive effects of an anticipated merger or a merger from various sources as the Authority deems appropriate including from the applicant, a merger party, buyers and competing enterprises in the relevant aviation service market, third party data providers or other regulatory bodies such as competition authorities or government departments. The Authority will also consider any feedback received from the public consultation³.

4.7.2 If the Authority, upon the completion of the Phase 1 Assessment, finds that an anticipated merger or a merger does not raise any competition concern in light of section 36T of the Act, the Authority:

- a) Will prepare a proposed decision and give notice of its proposed decision to the applicant
 - 1) The proposed decision shall contain the facts on which the Authority bases its finding and the reasons for such finding.
- b) Will provide an opportunity to the applicant, a merger party or any other relevant person to peruse the proposed decision prior to its publication for the purpose of determining whether there is any confidential information contained in the proposed decision

³ As stated in paragraph 3.6.1

- 1) In the event where there is a claim of confidential information in the proposed decision, the Authority will consider and determine whether there is merit to such a claim.
 - 2) If the Authority decides that the information is confidential, such information will be redacted.
- c) May publish its proposed decision in the manner that it sees fit for the purpose of public consultation
- 1) Any person may submit feedback within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of publication of the proposed decision or, where appropriate, any other reasonable period as may be determined by the Authority.

4.7.3 Upon considering any feedback received through the public consultation in subparagraph 3.7.2(c), the Authority may —

- a) proceed to make its final decision of non-infringement; or
- b) proceed with the Phase 2 Assessment, if the Authority finds that the anticipated merger or merger raises competition concerns in light of section 36T of the Act.

4.8 Phase 2 Assessment

4.8.1 Following the Phase 1 Assessment, if the Authority is unable to conclude that the anticipated merger or merger will not raise competition concerns, or finds that an anticipated merger or a merger raises competition concerns in light of section 36T of the Act, the Authority will inform the applicant that it will proceed to the Phase 2 Assessment of the application.

4.8.2 The Phase 2 Assessment of the application entails a more detailed and extensive examination of the effects of an anticipated merger or a merger. The applicant may be required to submit detailed information regarding the businesses of the merger parties in the relevant aviation service market within the period determined by the Authority. The submission of the detailed information by the applicant shall be in the form and manner determined by the Authority.

4.8.3 Upon the completion of the Phase 2 Assessment, the Authority:

- a) Will prepare a proposed decision and give notice of its proposed decision to the applicant
 - 1) The proposed decision will provide details such as whether there will be or has been an infringement of the prohibition in section 36T of the Act, the facts on which the Authority bases its decision and the reasons for such decision.

-
- b) Will provide an opportunity to the applicant, a merger party or any other relevant person to peruse the proposed decision prior to its publication for the purpose of determining whether there is any confidential information contained in the proposed decision
 - 1) In the event where there is a claim of confidential information in the proposed decision, the Authority will consider and determine whether there is merit to such a claim.
 - 2) If the Authority decides that the information is confidential, such information will be redacted.
 - c) May publish its proposed decision in the manner that it sees fit for the purpose of public consultation
 - 1) Any person may submit feedback within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of publication of the proposed decision or, where appropriate, any other reasonable period as may be determined by the Authority.
 - d) If the proposed decision contains a finding that an anticipated merger will infringe or a merger has infringed the prohibition in section 36T of the Act, the applicant will be given an opportunity to make a written representation to the Authority pertaining to the proposed decision within a period determined by the Authority

4.8.4 The Authority will give due consideration to any feedback received through the public consultation and in the case of a proposed infringement decision, any written representation by the applicant, before making its final decision.

4.9 Undertaking

4.9.1 As mentioned in subparagraph 3.8.3(d), in responding to the proposed decision made by the Authority upon the completion of the Phase 2 Assessment, an applicant will be given an opportunity to make a written representation to the Authority. In the written representation, an applicant or a merger party may propose an undertaking to do or refrain from doing anything in order to address any competition concern arising from the anticipated merger or merger that are raised in the proposed decision.

4.9.2 An applicant or a merger party is encouraged to propose an undertaking pursuant to section 36ZB of the Act to resolve any foreseeable competition concerns that may arise from such anticipated merger or merger. The undertaking must be aimed at preventing or remedying the identified adverse effects of an anticipated merger or a merger to competition.

4.9.3 An undertaking may be proposed at any stage of the Assessment process, either at the time of making an application to the Authority under section 36U or 36V of

the Act, during the Phase 1 or Phase 2 Assessment or in responding to a proposed decision proposed by the Authority at the end of the Phase 2 Assessment.

4.9.4 In some situations, the Authority may invite a merger party to offer an undertaking if the Authority finds the anticipated merger or merger that would likely result or have resulted in an SLC may be remedied by undertakings.

4.9.5 An undertaking is usually considered to be appropriate where the competition concerns and the undertaking proposed by the applicant or the merger party to address the competition concerns are clear. The undertaking must be sufficient to address the anticipated merger or merger's SLC effects, proportionate to remedy such adverse effects and capable of being implemented. In addition, the undertaking should not give rise to new competition concerns or require substantial monitoring by the Authority.

4.9.6 Upon receiving the proposed undertaking by an applicant or a merger party that the Authority considers to be a suitable remedy, the Authority may publish the proposed undertaking for the purpose of public consultation within a period determined by the Authority.

4.10 Execution of an Anticipated Merger During the Assessment of an Application

4.10.1 Due to the voluntary nature of the notification and application regime under the Act, merger parties may decide to execute an anticipated merger while an application to the Authority of such merger under section 36U of the Act is being assessed by the Authority. In such situation, the Authority may —

- a) pursuant to subsection 36U(6) of the Act, treat the application as if it were an application for a merger made in accordance with section 36V of the Act and make a decision under that section; or
- b) pursuant to subsection 36U(8) of the Act, refuse to make a decision in respect of such application and require the merger party to make a new application for the merger under subsection 36V(1) of the Act.

4.11 Final Decision

4.11.1 As stated in subparagraph 3.7.3(a) and paragraph 3.8.4, the Authority will consider any feedback received through the public consultation and in the case of a proposed infringement decision, any written representation by the applicant before making its final decision.

4.11.2 The Authority will then give notice of its final decision to the applicant and provide an opportunity to the applicant, a merger party or any other relevant person to peruse the final decision and to indicate whether there is any confidential information contained in the final decision. If the Authority decides that the

information is confidential, such information will be redacted before the Authority publishes its final decision.

- 4.11.3 The final decision shall contain the facts on which the Authority bases its finding and the reasons for such finding.

4.12 Non-Infringement Decision

- 4.12.1 The Authority may make a non-infringement decision if —

- a) the anticipated merger will not infringe or the merger has not infringed the prohibition in section 36T of the Act;
- b) the anticipated merger will not infringe or the merger has not infringed the prohibition in section 36T of the Act because of an exclusion⁴; or
- c) the Authority accepts an undertaking pursuant to section 36ZB of the Act.

4.13 Validity Period of a Non-Infringement Decision for an Anticipated Merger

- 4.13.1 A non-infringement decision with regard to an anticipated merger may be limited to a period specified by the Authority. In such a situation, a non-infringement decision for an anticipated merger would only be valid for a specified period and if the merger parties decide to proceed with the anticipated merger, it must be carried out within the specified period.

- 4.13.2 The Authority will take into account the circumstances of the anticipated merger in determining the validity period of a non-infringement decision. If an applicant is unable to execute an anticipated merger within the period specified in the non-infringement decision of the Authority, the applicant may make an application to the Authority for an extension of the period. The applicant must notify the merger parties of the application for extension within two working days from the date of such application.

- 4.13.3 An applicant shall make an application in writing to extend the validity period. The application shall include the following details:

- a) the reasons that the anticipated merger could not be executed within the period specified in the non-infringement decision of the Authority;
- b) the proposed period of extension and its reasons;
- c) whether or how the competitive environment has changed since the decision was issued and how it may be expected to change further within the proposed period of extension; and

⁴ As elaborated in the Guidelines on the Substantive Assessment of Mergers.

- d) whether or how the competitive impact of executing the anticipated merger within the proposed period of extension will differ from the competitive impact of executing the anticipated merger within the initial period specified in the non-infringement decision of the Authority.

4.13.4 The Authority will consider an application to extend the validity period on a case-by-case basis. The Authority may grant such extension subject to certain conditions. In general, the extension is more likely to be granted if —

- a) there is no material change in the competitive environment since the decision was issued; and
- b) the competitive impact from executing the anticipated merger within the proposed period of extension will not be materially different than if the anticipated merger were executed within the initial period specified in the non-infringement decision of the Authority.

4.13.5 The Authority may reject an application to extend the validity period if the application requires significant analysis of the competitive impact of an anticipated merger due to material change in the competitive environment and impact of the execution of the anticipated merger. In such situations, the merger party may wish to make a fresh application under section 36U of the Act.

4.14 Infringement Decision

4.14.1 If the Authority decides that an anticipated merger or a merger infringes the prohibition in section 36T of the Act, subsection 36Y(1) of the Act provides that the Authority —

- a) shall require the infringement to be ceased immediately;
- b) may specify appropriate steps to be taken by the infringing enterprise to bring the infringement to an end;
- c) may impose a financial penalty which shall not exceed ten percent of the worldwide turnover of the enterprise over the period during which the infringement occurred; or
- d) may give any other direction as it deems appropriate.

4.14.2 The Authority, in making an infringement decision, shall include its directions in its decision. The Authority must, within fourteen days of making that decision, notify its infringement decision to any person affected by the decision⁵.

4.15 Imposition of Directions in an Infringement Decision

⁵ Section 36Y(2) of the Act.

- 4.15.1 The Authority's main consideration in deciding on the appropriate directions to be given is to remedy, mitigate or prevent an SLC effect resulting from an anticipated merger or a merger.
- 4.15.2 Examples of directions that may be imposed by the Authority include the following:
- a) prohibiting an anticipated merger from being carried into effect;
 - b) requiring a merger to be dissolved or modified;
 - c) requiring a merger party to enter into agreements designed to prevent or lessen the anti-competitive effects arising from an anticipated merger or a merger;
 - d) requiring a merger party to dispose such businesses, assets, shares or rights in a manner that may be specified by the Authority; or
 - e) providing a performance bond, guarantee or other form of security on such terms and conditions as may be determined by the Authority.

4.16 Types of Remedy in an Infringement Decision

- 4.16.1 There are two main categories of remedy which may be directed by the Authority in its decision:
- a) Structural remedy
 - 1) Structural remedy refers to remedy intended to maintain or restore the competitive structure of a relevant aviation service market. Since an anticipated merger or a merger involves a structural change to a relevant aviation service market, a structural remedy may be appropriate if the anticipated merger or merger would result in an SLC.
 - 2) Structural remedy may involve the sale of one or more businesses, physical assets or other rights of any merger party to address the competitive concerns arising from an anticipated merger or a merger.
 - 3) Structural remedy may be preferable to behavioural remedy because it —
 - i) clearly addresses the market structure issues resulting from an anticipated merger or a merger that give rise to the competition concerns;
 - ii) could be simple, certain, readily implemented and could be accomplished in a short period of time; and
 - iii) requires little monitoring by the Authority once the merger parties implement it.
 - 4) In the case of the sale of a business, such business must be capable of being fully separated from the merger party. The Authority may specify that the sale must be completed within a certain period of time.

5) The Authority may require the approval of the purchaser before the sale of any business. This is to ensure that the proposed business purchaser has the necessary expertise, resources and incentives to operate the divested business as an effective competitor in a relevant aviation service market. An enterprise that is willing to pay a commercially reasonable price for a business may be considered as an alternative purchaser even if the price is lower than the price that a merger party is prepared to pay for the acquisition of that business. The Authority may also provide that if the divestiture fails to be completed within the specified period, an independent trustee may be appointed, to monitor the operation of the business pending disposal or to handle the sale at the expense of such merger party.

b) Behavioural remedy

1) Behavioural remedy refers to an ongoing remedy that is designed to modify or constrain the future conduct of a merger party. Unlike structural remedy, behavioural remedy does not restructure a merger party or the ownership of assets or rights. Instead, behavioural remedy subjects a merger party to specific operating rules.

2) Behavioural remedy seeks to change the behaviour of any merger party in a relevant aviation service market with the aim to encourage competition through the imposition of conditions or prohibitions to prevent a merger party from behaving in a manner that undermines competition.

3) The Authority may consider behavioural remedy in the following situations:

i) Divestment will be impractical or disproportionate to the nature of the competition concerns arising from an anticipated merger or a merger

ii) Behavioural remedy is necessary to support structural divestment

iii) For example, where the Authority directs a partial divestment remedy, the Authority may direct a merger party not to approach the former buyers of the divested business for a limited period in order to allow the buyers of the divested business to be a viable and effective competitor.

4.16.2 The Authority may decide to impose more than one type of remedy in order to remedy, mitigate or prevent an SLC effect resulting from an anticipated merger or a merger.

4.16.3 The Authority may consider various factors in deciding the appropriate remedy to be included in its decision including —

a) whether the remedial action will restore the competition that would be substantially lessened as a result of an anticipated merger or a merger;

- b) whether the remedial action would be effective to bring the infringement to an end or to remedy, mitigate or prevent an SLC effect resulting from an anticipated merger or a merger; and
- c) the cost of monitoring the remedial action.

4.16.4 In deciding a remedy relating to a merger application made pursuant to section 36V of the Act, the Authority may not consider the costs of divestment which the merger party would have to incur since the merger party has had the option to notify the Authority of the merger prior to its execution under section 36U of the Act.

4.17 Imposition of Financial Penalties in an Infringement Decision

4.17.1 A notification of an anticipated merger or a merger is unlikely to result in an imposition of a financial penalty under paragraph 36Y(1)(c) of the Act. The Authority may impose financial penalty in relation to an anticipated merger or a merger that is notified and applied to the Authority where the Authority is satisfied that the infringement was committed intentionally or negligently. For example, the Authority may, in its final decision, impose a financial penalty on a merger party that had executed an anticipated merger after the Authority had issued a proposed infringement decision in relation to the said anticipated merger.

5 Enforcement of Decision

5.1 Proceedings for Non-Compliance

5.1.1 Pursuant to subsection 36ZA(1) of the Act, the Authority may bring proceedings before the High Court against any person who fails to comply with its decision under section 36Y of the Act.

5.1.2 The High Court, upon finding that there is failure to comply with the Authority's decision, shall make an order requiring the person to comply with the decision. Any breach of an order of the High Court will be punishable as a contempt of court.

6 Exemption and Appeal

6.1 Exemption

6.1.1 As stated in paragraph 3.14.1, the Authority must, within fourteen days of making an infringement decision, notify its decision to any person affected by the decision. Additionally, any person affected by the decision may, within fourteen days of the date of notice, apply to the Minister for the anticipated merger or the merger to be exempted from the prohibition on the ground of any public interest consideration. This is provided for in subsection 36Y(2) of the Act.

-
- 6.1.2 The term “public interest consideration” as it appears in subsection 36Y(2) of the Act shall be construed narrowly and it has a different meaning from “social benefits” which is used by the Authority in assessing whether an anticipated merger that may be expected to result in an SLC or a merger that has resulted in an SLC in any aviation service market should be allowed because of an exclusion as provided under subparagraph 36U(3)(b)(i) or 36V(3)(b)(i) of the Act. The term “public interest consideration” in subsection 36Y(2) of the Act shall be confined to matters of public or national security and defence only.
- 6.1.3 This approach in the interpretation of “public interest consideration” creates a clear demarcation between the basis of assessment by the Authority as the competition authority for aviation service markets and the Minister, thus avoiding potential conflict or confusion.
- 6.1.4 An exemption granted by the Minister pursuant to subsection 36Y(2) of the Act may be revoked if the Minister has reasonable grounds to suspect that the information on which he based his decision was materially incomplete, false or misleading⁶.

6.2 Appeal

- 6.2.1 Any person or body aggrieved by any decision of the Authority under Part VB of the Act may appeal to the High Court within three months from the date on which the decision was communicated to him. This right to appeal is provided for under section 36ZC of the Act.

⁶ Subsection 36Y(3) of the Act.

7 Glossary

Act	=	Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia Act 2017 [Act 788] as amended by the Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia (Amendment) Act 2024 [Act A1723].
Applicant	=	A merger party that notifies the Authority of an anticipated merger or a merger and applies to the Authority for a decision under subsection 36U(1) or 36V(1) of the Act.
Authority	=	Civil Aviation Authority of Malaysia
Buyer	=	A consumer or an enterprise that acquires or uses any aviation service primarily for the purpose of resupplying the service or providing any aviation service.
Guidelines	=	Guidelines on Notification and Application Procedure for an Anticipated Merger or a Merger.
Merger party	=	An enterprise that is a party to an anticipated merger or a party involved in a merger. This may refer to an enterprise that merges with another enterprise, an enterprise that acquires another enterprise, an enterprise that is acquired by another enterprise or the merged entity, whichever is applicable
Merger parties	=	All enterprises that are parties to an anticipated merger or parties involved in a merger.
SLC	=	Substantial lessening of competition



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK